

### United States Patent and Trademark Office



h

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/993,969	11/14/2001	Paul A. Siudzinski	7709-C	9013
75	90 11/19/2002			
Alan F. Meckstroth			EXAMINER	
JACOX, MECKSTROTH & JENKINS Suite 2			TRAN A, PHI DIEU N	
2310 Far Hills Building Dayton, OH 45419-1575			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
		DATE MAIL ED. 11/10/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	_	4				
*	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/993,969	SIUDZINSKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phi D A	3637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of pailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	<u> August 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11,	455 O.G. 215.				
4) Claim(s) 23-30 and 32-34 is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>23-30,32 and 33</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been re	ceived.				
Attachment(s)	. ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 3637

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- I. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yane (5675947) in view of Glovernale(5822923) and Miller et al(4532743).

Yane shows a patio door assembly comprising a rectangular main frame having a pair of vertical jamb members (3) rigidly connected by a top head member (14), bottom sill member, a vertical astragal member (16) between the jamb members and rigidly connected to said head and sill members, the members being made of extruded plastics, a first and second door panels within the main frame including first and second rectangular glass panel, a two leafs gear hinge(41, figure 2) having an active leaf and an inactive leaf (the one connecting to the astragal being inactive) connecting the astragal member to the door panel, said jamb members including outwardly projecting double wall exterior portions (figure 2, where the screen is supported) defining laterally inwardly facing channels, said hinge covering an edge of said second door panel frame.

Yane does not show door panel frames and said main frame each having welded mitered corner joints and an elongated insert strip having a snap-fit connections to the channels, the strips having inwardly projecting flanges adapted to receive a sliding screen door, the inactive leaf attached to the main frame.

Art Unit: 3637

Miller et al (figure 9) shows an elongated insert strip attached by a snap-fit to exterior portion of head and jamb members to define a channel adapted to receive a sliding screen door.

Governale discloses the use of mittered joint for the main frames at corner.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Yane to show door panel frames and said main frame each having welded mitered corner joints and an elongated insert strip having a snap-fit connections to the channels, the strips having inwardly projecting flanges adapted to receive a sliding screen door, the inactive leaf attached to the main frame because the use of welded mittered corner joint in frame structures is a common expedient in the art to tightly connecting the frame structures together and using an elongate insert strip attached by a snap-fit to the channels of said head and jamb members to define a channel adapted to receive a sliding screen door would allow for easy installment of a screen door to the structures, and having the inactive leaf attached to the main frame would have been obvious as it enables the opening of the door on a different side of the frame.

# Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 23-30,32-33 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-30, 32-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3637

#### Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows different patio door assemblies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 703-306-9136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 3637

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Phi Dieu Tran A November 14, 2002

> LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

> > Lamama